# International Journal for Biotechnology and Molecular Biology Research

Volume 7 Number 3, September 2016 ISSN 2141-2154



# **ABOUT IJBMBR**

The International Journal for Biotechnology and Molecular Biology Research (IJBMBR) (ISSN 2141-2154) is published Monthly (one volume per year) by Academic Journals.

**International Journal for Biotechnology and Molecular Biology Research (IJBMBR)** provides rapid publication (monthly) of articles in all areas of the subject such as Green energy from chemicals and bio-wastes, Studies in the graft copolymerization of acrylonitrile onto cassava starch by ceric ion induced initiation, Antimutagenic activity of aqueous extract of Momordica charantia, Ethnomedicinal plants and other natural products with anti-HIV active compounds and their putative modes of action etc.

The Journal welcomes the submission of manuscripts that meet the general criteria of significance and scientific excellence. Papers will be published shortly after acceptance. All articles published in IJBMBR are peer-reviewed.

#### **Contact Us**

Editorial Office:	ijbmbr@academicjournals.org	
Help Desk:	helpdesk@academicjournals.org	
Website:	http://academicjournals.org/IJBMBR	
Submit manuscript online	http://ms.academiciournals.me/	

# **Editors**

**Prof Atagana, Harrison** Institute for Science and Technology Education University of South Africa

**Prof. UC Banerjee** Department of Pharmaceutical Technology (Biotechnology) National Institute of Pharmaceutical Education and Research Punjab, INDIA

#### Dr. Y. Omidi

Faculty of Pharmacy, Research Center for Pharmaceutical Nanotechnology, School of Advanced Biomedical Sciences, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran.

Prof. Mohamed E. Wagih University of New Brunswick (UNB-SJ), Saint John College, NB, E2L 4L5, Canada

#### Dr. Sripada M. Udupa

ICARDA-INRA Cooperative Research Project, International Center for Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas(ICARDA), B.P. 6299, Rabat Instituts, Rabat, Morocco

#### Dr. Amjad Masood Husaini

Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences & Technology Bohlochipora, Dr. Ali Jan Road, Nowshera, Srinagar, J&K-190011, India

Dr. Om Prakash Gupta Directorate of Wheat Research (ICAR) Post Box-158, A grasain Marg, Karnal-132001,

Haryana, India

#### Dr. Vinod Joshi

Indian Council of Medical Research New Pali Road, Jodhpur, India

#### Dr. Eshrat Gharaei Fathabad

Sari, Mazandaran, Iran

**Dr. Shashideep Singhal** 

121 Dekalb Ave, Brooklyn, NY 11201, New York, USA

#### Dr Masayoshi Yamaguchi

101 Woodruff Circle, 1305 WMRB, Atlanta, Georgia 30322-0001, USA

Dr. Okonko Iheanyi Omezuruike Department of Virology, Faculty of Basic Medical Sciences, College of Medicine, University College Hospital, Ibadan, Nigeria

Dr. S. M. Shahid University of Karachi, Karachi-75270, Pakistan

# **Editorial Board**

Dr. Amro Hanora

Suez Canal University, Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Faculty of Pharmacy, Suez Canal University, Box 41522 Ismailia, Egypt

Dr. C. Rajasekaran

VIT University School of Bio-Sciences & Technology (SBST)

#### Dr. Yasar Karadag

Gaziosmanpasa Univerisity Faculty of Agriculture, Departmen of Field Crops, Tokat-Turkey

#### **Dr. Ahmet Tutus**

KSU (Kahramanmaras Sutcu Imam Universirty) Faculty of Forestry, Department of Forest Industrial Engineering, Kahramanmaras 46100 Turkey

Desert Medicine Research Centre,

K.M.18 Khazarabad road.

#### Prof. Reda Helmy Sammour

Botany Department, Faculty of Science, Tanta University, Tanta, Egypt

Dr. Premendra D. Dwivedi

Food Toxicology Division, Room No 303, P.O. Box 80, M. G. Road, Lucknow-226001, UP, India

**Dr. Amro Hanora** *Microbiology and Immunology department, Faculty of Pharmacy, Suez Canal University, Box 41522 Ismailia, Egypt* 

Dr. Tamilnadu 1501 N. Campbell Ave Tucson, AZ 85724 India

**Dr. Yadollah Omidi** Faculty of Pharmacy, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Daneshghah St., Tabriz, Iran

**Dr. Mohsen Selim Asker** National Research Centre, Dokki, Cairo, Egypt

Dr. Fanuel Lampiao P.O.Box 360, Blantyre, Malawi

**Prof. Mohamed E. Wagih** Saint John, NB, E2L 4L5, Canada

**Dr. Santosh Kumar Singh** *Centre of Experimental Medicine and Surgery, Institute of Medical Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi-221005, India* 

**Dr. Zhanhuan Shang** No.768, Jiayuguan West Road, Lanzhou City, Gansu Province, China

**Dr. Worlanyo Eric Gato** Southern Illinois University – Carbondale, 1245 Lincoln Dr, 144 Neckers, Carbondale IL 62901 Dr. Chun Chen

College of Life Sciences, China Jiliang University Xueyuan Street, Xiasha, Hangzhou, Zhejiang Province, PR China

**Dr. Efthimios J.Karadimas** LGI, Leeds NHS Trust 10th Timoleontos Vassou str, 11521, Athens Greece

**Dr. Samuel Toba Anjorin** *University of Abuja, Abuja, Nigeria* 

**Dr. Rupali Agnihotri** Department of Periodontics, Manipal college of Dental Sciences, Manipal,576104. Karnataka. India

**Dr. Mahbuba Begum** *Tuber Crops Research Centre, Joydebpur, Gajipur-1701, Bangladesh* 

**Prof. S. Mohan Karuppayil** School of Life Sciences Srtm University Nanded. MS. India

**Dr. Neveen Helmy Aboelsoud** Complementary Medicine Researches and Application Department National Research Center, Cairo Egypt.

**Dr. D.E. Chandrashekar Rao** National Research Council, Plant Biotechnology Institute Canada

**Dr. Nikolaos Papanas** Democritus University of Thrace G. Kondyli 22, Alexandroupolis, Greece

Dr. Sivakumar Swaminathan Iowa State University USA

**Dr. El Sayed Hussein El Sayed Ziedan** National Research Centre, Plant Pathology Department Tahrir St.,Dokki Cairo, Egypt

#### Dr. Chethan Kumar M

Post Graduate Departments of Bio-technology and Biochemistry, Ooty Road, Mysore - 570 025, Karnataka, India

**Dr. M. Sattari** *Rice Research Ins. of Iran Iran* 

**Dr. Zaved Ahmed Khan** *VIT University India* 

#### Dr. Subbiah Poopathi

Vector Control Research Centre Indian Council of Medical Research (Ministry of Health & Family Welfare, Govt. of India) Medical Complex, Indira Nagar India

**Dr. Reyazul Rouf Mir** International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT), Patancheru - 502 324, Greater Hyderabad, India

Dr. Prasanna Kumar S Virginia Commonwealth University, USA

**Dr. Naseem Ahmad** 

Plant Biotechnology Laboratory Department of Botany Aligarh Muslim University Aligarh- 202 002, (UP) India

**Dr. Zhen-Xing Tang** Food Bioengineering institute, Hangzhou Wahaha Co. Ltd, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China

**Dr. Jayanthi Abraham** VIT (Vellore Institute of Technology) University, Tamilnadu, India **Dr. Gobianand Kuppannan** National Institute of Animal Science South Korea

**Dr. R. Harikrishnan** Jeju National University South Korea

Dr. Asit Ranjan Ghosh

Vellore Institute of Technology (VIT) University, School of Bio Sciences & Technology, Medical Biotechnology Division, Vellore-632014, India

**Dr. Kamal Dev** Shoolini University of Biotechnology and Management Sciences (SUBMS) India

Dr. Wichian Sittiprapaporn Mahasarakham University Thailand

Dr. Vijai Kumar Gupta Molecular Glycobiotechnology Group, Department of Biochemistry, School of Natural Sciences, National University of Ireland, Galway, Ireland

#### Dr. Jeffy George

Department of Microbiology and Immunology F. Edward Hébert School of Medicine Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences 4301 Jones Bridge Road, Bethesda, MD 20814 USA.

Dr. Gyanendra Singh

Stanley S. Scott Cancer Center, School of Medicine, Louisiana State University Health Sciences Center New Orleans, LA 70112, USA.

**Dr. Anupreet Kour** 1620 Chevy Chase Dr. Champaign, IL 61821 USA.

#### Dr. Arun Sharma

Institute for Plant Genomics and Biotechnology (IPGB) Borlaug Center, TAMU 2123 Texas A&M University College Station, TX 77843 USA.

#### Dr. Mohsen Asker

Microbial Biotechnology Dept. National Research Centre Cairo, Egypt.

#### Dr. Elijah Miinda Ateka

Department of Horticulture, Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology (JKUAT) Kenya.

#### Dr. Jozélio Freire De Carvalho

Faculdade de Medicina Da USP, Reumatologia Av. Dr. Arnaldo, 455 - 3º andar – Sala 3133. São Paulo - SP Brazil

#### Dr. Premendra Dhar Dwivedi

Food Toxicology Division Industrial Institute of Toxicology Research, Post Box No: 80, Mahatma Gandhi Marg, Lucknow 226001, India

#### Dr. Muhammad Abd El-Moez El-Saadani

Universities and Research Center District, New Borg El-Arab, P.O.Box: 21934 Alexandria, Egypt.

**Dr. Donald J. Ferguson** Advanced Orthodontic Training Program, Nicolas & Asp University College Dubai, UAE

**Dr. Kalyan Goswami** Department of Biochemistry & JB Tropical Disease Research Centre, Mahatma Gandhi Institute of Medical Sciences, Sevagram, Wardha-442102

#### Dr. A.K. Handa

National Research Centre for Agroforestry, Gwalior Road, JHANSI-284003 UP India.

#### Dr. Amjad M.Husaini

Metabolic Engineering & Biotechnology Laboratory Division of Plant Breeding & Genetics Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences & Technology of Kashmir J&K-191121, India

#### Dr. Vinod Joshi

Laboratory of Virology & Molecular Biology, Desert Medicine Research Centre, Pali Road, Jodhpur-342 005, India

#### Dr. T. Kalaivani

D/O S. Thiagarajan B-43, Rajaram Nagar, Salem - 636 007, Tamil Nadu, India

#### Dr. Priya Kalia

Orthopaedic Research Unit, Department of Surgery, Cambridge University, Cambridge, UK

#### Dr. Patricia Khashayar

Tehran University of Medical Sciences Endocrinology and Metabolism Research Center Shariati Hospital

#### Dr. Zaringhalam Moghadam

Shahid Beheshti Medical University (M.C) Tehran, Iran

#### Dr. Okeke Ikechukwu Linus

Department of Surgery, University of Ibadan Nigeria.

## Dr. Rajesh Kumar Patel

Centre for Analysis and Learning in Livestock and Food (CALF) National Dairy Development Board (NDDB) Anand- 388 001 (Gujarat) INDIA **Dr. Pooja Ralli-Jain** Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine University of California Irvine, Irvine, California, U.S.A.

**Dr. Meltem Sesli** College of Tobacco Expertise, Turkish Republic, Celal Bayar University 45210, Akhisar, Manisa, Turkey

**Dr. Reda H. Sammour** Tanta University, Faculty of Science, Tanta, Egypt

**Dr. Seyed Soheil Saeedi Saravi** Mazandaran University of Medical sciences, Sari, Iran

**Dr. R. Senthil Kumar** St. Matthew's University, School of Medicine Grand Cayman Cayman Islands

**Dr. Mohammad Reza Shakibaie** *Kerman University of Medical Sciences, Kerman, Iran* 

**Dr. Srividya Shivakumar** Dept of Microbiology, CPGS, Jain university, Bangalore

**Dr. Shashideep Singhal** *The Brooklyn Hospital Center NewYork-Presbyterian Healthcare System Brooklyn, NY.* 

**Dr. Sripada M. Udupa** International Center for Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas (ICARDA), B.P. 6299, Rabat Instituts, Rabat, Morocco.

**Dr. Wei Wu** Institute for Biocomplexity and Informatics Department of Bio Science The University of Calgary Canada

#### Dr. Xiao-Bing Zhang

Molecular Regeneration Laboratory, MC1528B 11234 Anderson Street Loma Linda, CA 92350

#### Prof. Dr. Ozfer Yesilada

Inonu University Faculty of Arts and Sciences Department of Biology 44280 Malatya Turkey

Dr. Edson Boasquevisque

Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro- UERJ Av 28 de setembro, 87, fundos (LMMC-IBRAG). Vila Isabel, city: Rio de Janeiro/ RJ Brasil

**Dr. Abhilash M.** The Oxford College of Engineering Hosur Road, Bangalore - 560068

#### Dr. Nasar Uddin Ahmed

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding Patuakhali Science and Technology University Dumki, Patuakhali-8602 Bangladesh

#### Dr. Mervat Morsy EL- Gendy

Chemistry of Natural and Microbial Products Department, National Research Center, Dokki, Cairo, Egypt

**Dr. Gjumrakch Aliev** Health Science and Healthcare Administration Program, University of Atlanta, Atlanta, Georgia, USA

**Dr. Muhammad Asgher** Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan

**Dr. Anand Bharatkumar** Parul Institute of Pharmacy, Limda, Waghodia, Vadodara

#### Dr. Chinmoy Kumar Bose,

Netaji Subhash Chandra Bose Cancer Research Institute 16A, Park Lane, Park Street, Kolkata 700 016, India.

#### Dr. Mousumi Debnath

Jaipur Engineering College and Research Centre (JECRC) Department of Biotechnology, Shri Ram ki Nangal, Via Vatika ,Tonk Road , Jaipur-303905 , India

#### Dr. Dolan C. Saha

Dept. of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Calgary, Canada

#### Dr. Ramasamy Harikrishnan

Department of Aquatic Biomedical Sciences School of Marine Biomedical Science College of Ocean Sciences Jeju National University Jeju city, Jeju 690 756, South Korea

#### **Dr. Abdul Haque**

Health Biotechnology division, nibge, Faisalabad, Pakistan

#### Dr. Kuvalekar Aniket Arun

Interactive Research School for Health Affairs (IRHSA), Bharati Vidyapeeth University, Pune, Maharashtra, India

#### Dr. Asit Ranjan Ghosh

School of Bio Science & Technology, Division of Medical Biotechnology, Vellore Institute of Technology (VIT) University, Vellore-632014, India

#### **Dr. Prasanna Kumar Santhekadur** Department of Human and Molecular Genetics Virginia Commonwealth University Richmond, VA

#### Dr. Majid Sattari

*Rice Research Institute of Iran Iran* 

### **Dr. Mihael Cristin Ichim** National Institute Research and Development for

Biological Sciences / "Stejarul" Research Centre for Biological Sciences Alexandru cel Bun St., 6, Piatra Neamt, 610004, Romania

#### Dr. Sailas Benjamin

Enzyme Technology Laboratory Biotechnology Division Department of Botany University of Calicut Kerala - 673 635 India

#### Dr. Sreeramanan Subramaniam

School of Biological Sciences, Universiti Sains Malaysia (USM), Minden Heights, 11800, Penang, Malaysia

#### Dr. Vijai Kumar Gupta,

Department of Biochemistry, NUI, Galway, Ireland

#### Dr. Vitor Engrácia Valenti

Universidade Federal de São Paulo Rua Napoleão de Barros, 715, Térreso São Paulo, SP Brazil.

#### Dr. Ravindra Pogaku

School of Engineering and IT Universiti Malaysia Sabah 88999 Kota Kinabalu Sabah, Malaysia

#### Dr. Ahmed Eid Abdel-Hamid Eweis Fazary

School of Pharmacy, College of Medicine, National Taiwan University, Taipei 100, Taiwan.

#### Dr. Mohammad Hashemi

Dept. of Clinical Biochemistry, School of Medicine, Zahedan University of Medical Sciences, Zahedan, Iran

#### Dr. Hesham, Abd El-Latif

Genetics Department, Assiut University, Assiut 71516, Egypt.

#### Prof. Jia-ying Xin

College of Food Engineering Harbin University of Commerce 138 Tongda Road Daoli District Harbin 150076, Heilongjiang P.R.China

#### Dr. Kabir Mohammad Humayun

Plant Molecular Biotech Lab Department of Medical Biotechnology College of Biomedical Science Kangwon National University Kangwon-do, Chuncheon, 200-701 South Korea

#### Dr. Kalpesh Gaur

Geetanjali College of Pharmaceutical Studies Manwa Khera, Udaipur- 313002. Rajasthan, India

#### Dr. Meganathan, Kannan

Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER), U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA), Bldg. NIH 29A, Room 2C-10, 8800 Rockville Pike, Bethesda, MD 20892. USA.

#### Assist. Prof. Ali Karadeniz

Department of Physiology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Atatürk 25240 ERZURUM Turkey

#### **Dr. Matthew Kostek** Department of Kinesiology

University of Connecticut Storrs CT

#### Dr. Tansu Kucuk

Gulhane School of Medicine Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology Etlik 06018 Ankara, Turkey

#### Dr. Kuo-Sheng Hung

Department of Neurosurgery Taipei Medical University - Wan Fang Medical Center 111 Section 3, Hsing-Long Rd, Taipei 116, Taiwan

#### **Dr. V. Manju** Department of Biochemistry, Periyar University, Salem -11.

#### Dr. Mbagwu Ferdinand Nkem

Department of Plant science and Biotechnology, Faculty of Science, Imo State University Nigeria.

#### Dr. Anand Pithadia

Parul Institute of Pharmacy Vadodara, Gujarat, India

#### Dr. Radhakrishnan Ramaraj

Department of Internal Medicine University of Arizona Tucson 85724 AZ

#### Dr. M. Rasool

School of Bio Sciences and Technology, VIT University, Vellore-632104, Tamil Nadu, India

#### Dr. Reda A.I. Abou-Shanab

Genetic Engineering & Biotechnology Research Institute (GEBRI) Mubarak City for Scientific Research and Technology Applications New Burg El-Arab City, Universities and Research Institutes Zone, P.O. 21934, Alexandria, Egypt.

### Dr. MR. Pravin Babarao Suruse

Department of Pharmaceutics Sharad Pawar College of Pharmacy Wanadongri, Hingna Road Nagpur- 441 110. (M. S.)

#### Dr. Jan Woraratanadharm

GenPhar, Inc., Mount Pleasant, SC

#### Dr. Serap Yalin

Mersin University Pharmacy Faculty Department of Biochemistry, Mersin Turkey

#### Dr. YongYong Shi

Bio-X Center, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Hao Ran Building, 1954 Hua Shan Road, Shanghai 200030, PR China

#### Dr. Jyotdeep Kaur

Department of Biochemistry, Post Graduate Institute of Medical Education and Research (PGIMER), Chandigarh

#### Dr. Rajkumar

Dept. Of Radiation Biosciences, Institute of Nuclear Medicine and Allied Sciences Brig. S.K. Mazumdar Road, Timarpur, Delhi-110054 India

#### Dr. Meera Sumanth

Visveswarapura Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, 22nd Main, 24th Cross, B.S.K II stage, Bangalore-560070 Karnataka, India.

#### Dr, Jai S. Ghosh

Department of Microbiology, Shivaji University, Kolhapur 416004, India

#### Prof. Dr. Alaa H. Al-Charrakh

Babylon University, College of Medicine. Dept. of Microbiology Hilla, Iraq

# International Journal for Biotechnology and Molecular Biology Research

# Table of Contents: Volume 7 Number 3 September, 2016



# academic<mark>Journals</mark>

Vol. 7(3) pp. 29-35, September 2016 DOI: 10.5897/IJBMBR2012.018 Article Number: FFCF7AA60770 ISSN 2141-2154 Copyright © 2016 Author(s) retain the copyright of this article http:// www.academicjournals.org/IJBMBR

International Journal of Biotechnology and Molecular Biology Research

Full Length Research Paper

# Efficient somatic embryogenesis of *Jatropha curcas* L. from petiole and leaf discs

## Cecilia Mbithe Mweu\*, Aggrey Nyende and Justus Onguso

Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture Technology, P. O. Box 62000-00200, Nairobi, Kenya.

Received 21 August, 2012; Accepted 19 September, 2016

Jatropha curcas L. is peculiar treasured tree species for its uses and considerable economic potential as a biofuel plant. Propagation using seeds is limited by low viability and germination hence unable to provide high quality planting material for sustainable use. To achieve mass production of *J. curcas,* regeneration from leaf discs and petioles using different treatments of plant growth regulators (PGRs) including 6- benzyl aminopurine (BAP), Kinetin (KIN), Indole -3-acetic acid (IAA) and Thiadiazuron (TDZ) was explored. Maximum callus formation efficiency (85.00%) and the shoot proliferation per explants (8.25) was observed on MS medium supplemented with 1.5 mg/L BAP, 0.6 mg/L KIN, 0.3 mg/L IAA and 0.1 mg/L TDZ. Rooting was induced from elongated shoots cultured on half strength Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium fortified with different regimes of indole-3-butyric acid (IBA) and Naphthalene acetic acid (NAA). MS supplemented with 3.5 mg/L IBA and 3.5 mg/L NAA gave optimum root formation of 2.5 cm on JCO4, JN1, JE4 and JRV1 accessions. The rooted plants were established in forest soil, sand and manure mixed in the ratio of 2: 1:1 in the green house with 20% survival rate. This successful *in vitro* regeneration is vital for seedling system for mass production.

Key words: Plant growth regulators, callus, shoot induction, root formation.

#### INTRODUCTION

The genus *Jatropha*, which is perceived to comprise approximately 170 known species, is distributed in the tropical and subtropical world. *Jatropha* has been considered as a plant for biofuel production mainly due to its high seed oil content of 40 to 50% and non-competing demand with edible oil supplies (Rajore et al., 2007). With the recent increase in fuel prices there is an increased demand in the use of *Jatropha* oil to alleviate energy crisis. Conversion of the oil to biodiesel is relatively simple by chemical (Berchmans and Hirata, 2008) or biological trans-esterification (Modi et al., 2007). Jatropha is also desired due to its drought hardiness, rapid growth, easy propagation, low cost of seeds, oil content and short maturity period with wide range of environmental adoption (Gubitz et al., 1999; Jones and Miller, 1991). The oil is also used in manufacturing candle, varnishes, soap and treatment of several diseases among others uses (Rajore et al., 2007). Although Jatropha has

\*Corresponding author. E-mail: mbithec@yahoo.com. Tel: +254 721 988 302.

Author(s) agree that this article remain permanently open access under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution</u> <u>License 4.0 International License</u> assumed paramount importance as a potential biodiesel crop in more than 50 countries, its production is met with limited success. This is due to unreliable seed production, low oil yields, vulnerability to pests and diseases and low economic returns (Heller, 1996; Kaushik et al., 2007).

Commercial propagation of Jatropha is typically through seed and vegetative cutting. Macro propagation of Jatropha through stem cuttings has been achieved however the established plants are not deep rooted and hence, they easily get uprooted when cultivated in lands with poor top soil (Heller, 1996; Openshaw, 2000). Despite their profuse vegetative growth, the number of seeds produced per plant is very low and the seeds show a low seed fecundity, which is reduced by 50% within 15 months. Plants propagated by cuttings show a lower longevity and possess a lower drought and disease resistance than those propagated by seeds (Sujatha et al., 2007). Traits like higher seed yield, oil content, synchronous maturity and early flowering can be introduced for production to be sustainable and viable. Application of plant biotechnological methods can improve the crop by producing disease free plants, large number of plantlets and conserve the germplasm (Rajore et al., 2007). Plant species with rich secondary metabolites like Jatropha have proved to be difficult for mass propagation through tissue culture (Roy, 1991). Development of an efficient *in vitro* regeneration system would be a remarkable progress for the Jatropha business and the field of alternative energy technology.

Although somatic embryogenesis and organogenesis using different explants have been reported in Jatropha (Deore et al., 2008; Varshney et al., 2010), low rates of in vitro multiplications were reported in these studies. Somatic embryogenesis is the gateway to efficient propagation and genetic transformation due to its single cell origin (Cai et al., 2011). Evaluation of tissue culture propagated plants of Jatropha revealed that they were at par with seed propagated plants in terms of yield and yield related traits (Sujatha and Mukta, 1996). There are no documented studies on micropropagation of Kenvan Jatropha genotypes. Moreover, no field transfers of Jatropha tissue culture plantlets have been successfully reported in previous studies. Rooting in vitro and acclimatization have also proven very difficult for Jatropha (Pankaj and Divay 2011). Therefore, the objective of this study was to develop an efficient in vitro regeneration system for micropropagation of Kenyan Jatropha genotypes.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Plant material

Plant materials used in this study were collected between December 2008 to April 2009 from the Coastal, Eastern, Western,

Central, Nairobi and Rift Valley provinces of Kenya. The plant materials collected consisted of mature seeds, cuttings and seedlings. They were stored in cool boxes and later transported to molecular laboratory in the Institute for Biotechnology Research (IBR), Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology (JKUAT). Seeds were dried at room temperature where some were germinated on sand in IBR greenhouse and others stored in papers bags in a cool well ventilated area in the laboratory. The seedlings and cuttings were transplanted in potting bags containing well mixed forest soil, sand and manure in the ratio of 2:1:1 and kept in the IBR green house. They were watered thrice a week using a spraying can. Seed that germinated were transplanted into potting bags containing soil, sand and manure in the ratio of 2: 1: 1 after two weeks. Well adapted plantlets were used as stock plants in subsequent experiments.

#### Jatropha accessions

Five accessions (JCO4, JE1, JN1, JNY1 and JRV1) were selected and used in subsequent tissue culture experiments.

#### Establishment of sterilization protocol and culture conditions

Murashige and Skoog (MS), basal medium (Murashige and Skoog, 1962) was used. All the media were supplemented with 3% (w/v) sucrose, plant growth regulators (PGRs) as per growth stage and the pH was adjusted to 5.8 using 0.1 N HCL or 0.1 N NaOH, 0.28% (w/v) Gelrite was added and the media dispensed in 200 ml culture jam jars (20 ml of medium per jar). The media, water, glassware and metallic equipment used were sterilized by autoclaving at 121°C at 1.06 kg cm-<sup>2</sup> pressure for 20 min. The sterilized media was kept at room temperature for three days before culture. Chemicals used were of analytical grade and experiments were carried out under aseptic conditions in the laminar flow. Forceps and scalpels were sterilized in a Bead Sterilizer at 250°C before use. Cultures were maintained at 25± 2°C under a 16 h light and 8 h dark period in air conditioned growth chambers illuminated by 40 W provided by Philips white fluorescent tubes. The intensity of light was regulated between 2500 and 3000  $\mu mol\ m^2\ s^{-1}.$  Leaf discs and petiole explants were obtained from the  $3^{rd}$  and  $4^{th}$  leaves foliar of 3 to 4 months old mother plants raised in the greenhouse. They were placed in glass beakers and kept in running tap water for 30 min to remove physical impurities and latex. They were then transferred to new glass beakers containing 250 mL of water into which 100 µl/L Tween<sup>®</sup>20 and 2 ml/L of Dettol detergent had been added. The beaker was swirled gently at intervals for fifteen minutes followed by three times rinsing with distilled water to remove all the traces of detergent. Thereafter the explants were kept in 0.3% (w/v) Redomil® (fungicide) plus 100 µl/L Tween®20 for 1 h then washed thoroughly with double distilled water. Under a clean Lamina flow hood, the explants were subjected to 70% (v/v) ethanol for 30 s, rinsed with double distilled water thrice to remove all the ethanol and then subjected to 20% (v/v) sodium hypochlorite containing 100 µl/L Tween<sup>®</sup>20 at varying exposure times; 15, 20 and 25 min then rinsed three times with double distilled water. After sterilization, individual leaf disc explants were trimmed aseptically to 0.5 mm to 1 cm all round and petiole 1 to 2 cm before culturing them on full strength MS medium with the leaf abaxial in contact with initiation medium for leaf disc and petioles horizontally.

#### Effects of plant growth regulators on callus induction

Murashige and Skoog medium supplemented with different PGRs

at varying concentrations was used for callus induction on five *Jatropha* accessions; JNI, JNYI, JCO4, JE4 and JRVI. Combined PGRs applications at varying concentrations of 0.5 to 2.0 mg/L BAP, 0.4 to 0.7 mg/L Kin, 0.3 to 0.6 mg/L IAA and 0.1 mg/L TDZ and a control (MS media without PGRs) totaling to 25 treatments were set. The percentage proportion of callus induction on leaf discs and petioles were evaluated at an interval of 4 weeks after initiation.

# Effects of plant growth regulators on somatic embryo development

MS medium combined with PGRs at varying concentrations as in callus induction was used to test the rate of somatic embryos formation from callus of JN1, JCO4, JE4 and JRVI accessions. Sub culturing after every 4 weeks was carried out. Somatic embryos formation per treatment was evaluated at an interval of 4 weeks for 2 months after sub culturing. The morphology, colour, texture and shape of the embryos were also determined using eye and microscopic observation.

#### Effects of plant growth regulators on shoot proliferation

MS medium combined with PGRs at varying concentrations as in callus induction was used in shoot formation, multiplication and elongation of JN1, JCO4, JE4 and JRVI. This was done for 2 months to evaluate the regeneration rate. Induction of micro shoots and their length was evaluated at an interval of 4 weeks after sub culturing.

#### Effects of plant growth regulators on root induction

Half strength MS containing 3% (w/v) sucrose, 0.28% (w/v) gelrite fortified with IBA and IAA combined at varying concentrations were used. 1.5 to 4.0 mg/L IBA, 1.5 to 4.0 mg/L IAA, and a control (MS media without PGRs) totaling 25 treatments were set and used in root initiation of JN1, JCO4, JE4 and JRVI. This was done for 2 months to evaluate the regeneration rate. Induction of roots and their length was evaluated at an interval of 4 weeks after sub culturing.

#### Acclimatization

Plants from the tissue culture were then placed in the green house in pots containing forest soil, sand and manure at the ratio of 2:1:1. They were watered thrice a week using a spraying can.

#### Experimental design, data collection and analysis

Experiments on sterilization, callus induction, shooting and rooting were set up in a complete random design in 3 replicates. The frequency of callus formation, expressed as percentage, was calculated as the proportion of number of explants forming callus. Observation on somatic embryo germination, shoot proliferation and root induction rate were recorded. Data was collected in MS Excel spreadsheets and analyzed using Statistical Analysis System (SAS) 9.1 and GenStat 12<sup>th</sup> Edition, statistical softwares. Results were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) to detect significant differences between means. Means differing significantly were compared using Tukey's test at 5% probability.

#### RESULTS

#### **Callus induction**

Explants enlargement and swelling was observed after three days of culture. After 6 days mass of undifferentiated cells originated from petiole ends exposed to the medium whereas on leaf discs calli were formed all over the surface on all four groups of culture media used (Figure 1a and b). The callus colour varied from green, whitish green, yellowish green, brownish green, white and brown. The texture was both compact and friable. When the callus induction rates of the different accessions of Jatropha were compared using Turkeys test, significant differences were observed. Explants cultured in absence PGRs senesced without producing callus. The medium containing 1.5 mg/L BAP, 0.6 mg/L KIN, 0.5 mg/L IAA and 0.1 mg/L TDZ (C) induced callus significantly faster and in high rates compared to that induced by lower or higher levels. Induction rates of 18% JNY1, 65% JE4, 66% JCOE, 67% JRV1 and 85% JN1 were recorded (Table 1).

#### Embryo development and germination

After 8 weeks of culture on different concentrations, JNY1 callus produced few whitish somatic embryos which soon became necrotic. Necrosis began on the periphery and eventually the whole plant. Callus from other accessions remained green, whitish green, light green, white and brown in different concentrations and retained high embryogenic potential. During embryo development friable green and compact green callus were observed to undergo globular stage, heart stage, torpedo stage and maturity stage (Figure 1c, d and e). White and brown callus did not generate any embryos but died after subsequent culturing.

#### Shoot multiplication and elongation media

After transfer of embryos to shoot inducing medium (SIM), an average number of shoots were formed on each explant which varied significantly. The generated plants showed true Jatropha morphology (Figure 1f, g, h and i). Shoot length of 1.25 to 4.75 cm was achieved (Table 2). Concentration C was the best across all the accessions.

#### **Root induction**

Root induction and development required approximately 4 weeks with significant treatment effects on the rate of rooting (Figure 1j). Root development was induced in the



**Figure 1.** (a.) Callus induction on petiole; (b) callus induction on leaf discs; (c) embryo development, (d) embryo maturation, (e) embryo germination, (f) shoot formation, (g) shoot development, (h) shoot multiplication, (i) shoot elongation, (j) rooting (k) plantlet undergoing acclimatization.

shoot cultured on ½ MS supplemented with IBA and NAA for all accessions. No rooting occurred in the absence of auxins. Generally, these treatments resulted in the formation of average number of roots regardless of the

accessions type with concentrations 3.0 mg/L IBA and 3.5 mg/ L NAA being the most effective for root induction. The lowest root number was 1.81 while the highest was only 20% survived.

Accessions	Plant growth regulators (mgL <sup>-i)</sup>			
	*A	*B	*C	*D
JNY1	8.75±1.25 <sup>d</sup>	15.00±2.04 <sup>d</sup>	17.50±2.50 <sup>c</sup>	11.25±1.25 <sup>b</sup>
JCO4	46.25±2.39 <sup>b</sup>	55.00±2.04 <sup>c</sup>	66.25±2.39 <sup>b</sup>	52.25±2.39 <sup>a</sup>
JE4	15.00±2.04 <sup>c</sup>	50.00±2.04 <sup>°</sup>	65.00±2.04 <sup>b</sup>	50.00±2.04 <sup>a</sup>
JN1	8.75±1.25 <sup>d</sup>	75.00±2.04 <sup>a</sup>	85.00±2.04 <sup>a</sup>	17.50±2.50 <sup>b</sup>
JRV1	57.50±3.23 <sup>a</sup>	65.00±2.04 <sup>b</sup>	67.50±3.23 <sup>b</sup>	50.00±2.04 <sup>a</sup>

Table 1. Effect of different concentration and combination of BAP, Kinetin, IAA and TDZ upon callus induction from leaf disc (n=60).

Means (± SE) followed by different alphabets in each column were significantly different at P ≤0.05 using Tukey's test. \*A = (0.5 mg/L BAP, 0.4 mg/L Kin, 0.3 mg/L IAA and 0.1 mg/L TDZ); \*B = 1.0 mg/L BAP, 0.5 mg/L Kin, 0.4 mg/L IAA and 0.1 mg/L TDZ; \*C = 1.5 mg/L BAP, 0.6 mg/L Kin, 0.3 mg/L IAA and 0.1 mg/L TDZ. \*D = 2.0 mg/L BAP, 0.7 mg/L Kin, 0.6 mg/L IAA and 0.1 mg/L TDZ.

Table 2. Effect of different concentration and combination of BAP, Kinetin, IAA and TDZ on shoots elongation (cm), (n=48).

A	Plant growth regulators (mgL <sup>⁻1</sup> )			
Accessions	Α	В	С	D
JCO4	1.25 <sup>b</sup>	2.75 <sup>c</sup>	4.75 <sup>c</sup>	3.00 <sup>b</sup>
JE4	2.00 <sup>b</sup>	2.50 <sup>c</sup>	4.50 <sup>c</sup>	5.00 <sup>a</sup>
JN1	1.25 <sup>b</sup>	4.25 <sup>b</sup>	8.25 <sup>a</sup>	6.00 <sup>a</sup>
JRV1	5.00 <sup>a</sup>	6.25 <sup>a</sup>	6.50 <sup>b</sup>	5.50 <sup>a</sup>
L.S.D <sub>0.05</sub>	0.832	1.01	1.371	1.177

Means (± SE) followed by same alphabets in each column were not significantly different at P ≤0.05 using Tukey's test.

#### DISCUSSION

Somatic embryogenesis enables production of large number of plants throughout the year and is also a powerful tool for genetic improvement of all plant species as a result of its single cell origin as reported by Bhansali et al. (1990). In this study a reproducible regeneration system of Jatropha through somatic embryogenesis was attempted. The PGRs concentration, source of explants and genotype significantly influenced the regeneration response this is in consistent with observation made by Kumar et al. (2010). Young leaf discs and petiole explants from the 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> leaves of the five Jatropha accession; JNI, JNY1, JCO4, JRV1 and JE4 cultured on different media formed callus. Embryogenesis induction proficiency was accession and explant specific whereby petioles of accession JN1 had 85% callus induction while JRV1, JCO4, JE4 and JNY1 had 67.5, 66.25, 65.0% and 17.5% respectively (Table 3). This concurs with study done on cotton (Nick et al., 1986), using both petioles and leaf discs. The developmental stage of explants was crucial in regeneration whereby young explants portrayed high cell differentiation. This finding is in agreement with the observation made by Ahuja (1993) who reported that Juvenile explants were more responsive to tissue culture than old ones. In this study sterilization using mercuric chloride was replaced by Sodium hypochlorite, due its harmful environmental effects as described by Ahmad et al. (2011). Induction of callus was the critical stage in this study where the type and quality of callus influenced subsequent plant regenerations. Plant growth regulators, especially cytokinins and auxins alone or in combination play a very important role in callus induction process and its proliferation according to Thomas and Maseena (2006). Similarly, in this study it was observed that combination of BAP, KIN, IAA and TDZ is essential for high frequency induction of callus and multiple shoots. However, finding out the triggering combination of these plant growth regulators was the most important as reported by Timir et al. (2007). Although BAP has been reported to be more effective than other cytokinins in micropropagation of various members of the Euphorbiaceae (Tideman and Hawker, 1982; Ripley and Preece, 1986), TDZ was found to play an important role in callus induction in this study. When BAP, KIN and IAA were used on nodal explants by Kalimuthu et al. (2007) they induced somatic embryogenesis without TDZ. The differential response of cytokinins and auxin in this case, attributed to differences in uptake, levels is of endogenous growth regulators and recognition by cells as described by Pedrose and Pais (1995) and Souter and Lindsey (2000).

Low concentration of TDZ was maintained throughout the regeneration process and especially during shoot

Assessions	Plant growth regulators (mgL <sup>⁻¹</sup> )			
Accessions	1.5 IBA +1.5 NAA	2.5IBA +2.5 NAA	3.0IBA + 3.5NAA	4.0IBA + 4.0NAA
JCO4	1.813 <sup>a</sup>	2.36 <sup>a</sup>	3.36 <sup>a</sup>	2.36 <sup>a</sup>
JE4	1.813 <sup>a</sup>	2.29 <sup>a</sup>	3.50 <sup>a</sup>	2.38 <sup>a</sup>
JN1	1.938 <sup>a</sup>	2.54 <sup>a</sup>	3.50 <sup>a</sup>	2.56 <sup>a</sup>
JRV1	2.06 <sup>a</sup>	2.24 <sup>a</sup>	3.50 <sup>a</sup>	2.36 <sup>a</sup>
L.S.D <sub>0.05</sub>	0.658	0.4178	0.794	0.4531

Table 3. Effects of auxins at different concentrations and combination on rooting in excised shoots of various J. curcas Accessions.

Means (± SE) followed by same alphabets in each column were not significantly different at P≤0.05 using Tukeys test.

elongation as high concentration have inhibitory effects (Preece and Imel 1991: Huetteman and Preece, 1993: Feyissa et al., 2005). Combination of 1.5 mg/L BAP with 0.6 mg/ L KIN, 0.5 mg/ L IAA and 0.1 mg/ L TDZ promoted the highest callus induction of 85% within 8 weeks on all accessions in comparison to lower or higher concentrations. The rate of somatic embryo induction varied from one accession to another as reported by Shibli et al. (2001). Within the JN1 accessions the highest rate of 85% was observed and lowest rate of 18% on JNY1 was recorded, JCO4, JRV1 and JE4 accessions recorded 66, 67.5 and 65% respectively. According to Ghimire et al. (2010) leaf explants produced more callus than petiole explants. This agrees with the findings of this study where by callus formation on leaf explants was significantly higher than petiole explants. Majority of the calli differentiated to organized embryos while other and translucent. white, areas remained brown Subsequent transfer induced embryogenic callus which later formed shoots on SIM at varied levels within 10 to 12 weeks of culturing. During embryo development heart, globular and torpedo shaped embryos were observed which originated from both compact and friable whitish green callus. The embryos germinated into plantlets in all accessions except in JNY1 where cultures senesced without producing shoots. This coincides with earlier reported findings where in vitro regeneration of J. curcas was observed to be genotype dependent (Kumar, 2008; Kumar and Reddy, 2010; Kumar et al., 2010a).

Of the four concentrations tested concentration C gave a higher shoot formation. The accessions also responded differently on medium C with accession JNI having the highest rate of shoot formation of 8.25, while accession JCO4 had the lowest shoot formation score of 4.50. The critical step of inducing roots on the elongated plantlets was obtained on the transfer of the 16 weeks old plants on rooting medium of ½ MS supplemented with 3.0 mg/L IBA and 3.5 mg/L NAA for 4 weeks. This resulted in a significant difference in the mean of root number (3.50 JN1 accessions, 3.36 JCO4 accessions, 3.50 JE4 accessions and 3.50 JRV1 accessions)  $P \leq 0.05$ . This is in agreement to a study done in India using the same PGRs (Shrivastava et al., 2008). The acclimatization of the rooted shoots was accomplished successfully after transfer to pots containing forest soil, sand and manure in the ratio of 2: 1:1 under greenhouse conditions.

In all developmental stages the use of MS in the absence of plant growth regulators led to senesces of the explant used. This establishes well the role of cytokinins and auxins in different stages of somatic embryogenesis as reported by Fujimura and Komamime (1980), Loschiavo et al. (1989) and Litz and Gray (1995). The most important research finding in his study was discovering the triggering combination and concentration of plant growth regulators besides other factors like type of tissue of a plant. It also confirms earlier studies which have reported that presence of auxins throughout the regeneration promotes the completion of embryogenesis stages. In this study the type of explants used in regeneration was an important factor (Shen et al., 2008). Combination of 1.5 mg/L BAP with 0.6 mg/ L KIN, 0.5 mg/ L IAA and 0.1 mg/ L TDZ is a breakthrough in high callus and shoot formation. The plant survival in the greenhouse indicates a successful establishment of an efficient in vitro regeneration protocol for J. curcas. This research finding could be useful in producing true to type plants of Jatropha in mass and genetic transformation for desirable traits.

#### Conclusion

A rapid and efficient *in vitro* regeneration system for *Jatropha* from both leaf discs and petiole explants was developed. The study has revealed that interactions among BAP, KIN, IAA and TDZ PGRs at varying concentrations, explant nature and genotype significantly influenced the regeneration process. This protocol expands the base for the creation of new *Jatropha* cultivars with increased yield, pest and disease resistance and oil for biofuel industry through genetic transformation.

#### **Conflict of Interests**

The authors have not declared any conflict of interests.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors wish to thank the National Council for Science and Technology (NCST) and Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology (JKUAT) for funding the research. They also pay tribute to the late Prof. Jesse Machuka for his scientific contribution towards the work.

#### REFERENCES

Ahmad S, Halimi MSA, Maheran BA (2011). An alternative safer sterilization method for explants of Aloe vera barbadensis Mill. Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Chemical Engineering and Applications, 23 IACSIT Press, Singapore.

Ahuja MR (1993) Micropropagation of woody plants book. P 190.

- Berchmans HJ, Hirata S (2008). Biodiesel production from crude *Jatropha curcas* L. seed oil with a high content of free fatty acids. Bioresour. Technol. 99:1716-1721.
- Bhansali R (1990). Somatic Embryogenesis and Regeneration of Plantlets in Pomegranate. Ann. Bot. 66(3):249-253.
- Cai L, Fu L, Ji L (2011). Regeneration of *Jatropha curcas* through efficient somatic embryogenesis and suspension culture. GM Crops 2(2):110-117.
- Deore AC, Johnson TS (2008). High-frequency plant regeneration from leaf-disc cultures of *Jatropha curcas* L.: an important biodiesel plant. Plant Biotechnol. Rep. 2:7-11.
- Feyissa T, Welander M, Negash L (2005). In vitro regeneration of Hagenia abyssinica (Bruce) J.F. Gmel. (Rosaceae) from leaf explants. Plant Cell Rep. 24:392-400.
- Fujimura T, Komamine A (1980). Mode of action of 2, 4-D and zeatin on somatic embryogenesis in carrot suspension culture. Pflanzenphysiol. 99:1-8.
- Ghimire BK, Éun SS, Eun HK, Kabir L, Chang Y, Min C (2010). Direct shoot organogenesis from petiole and leaf discs of *Withania* somnifera (L.) Dunal. Afr. J. Biotechnol. 9(44):7453-7461.
- Gubitz G, Mittelbach M, Trabi M (1999). Exploitation of the tropical oil seed plant *Jatropha curcas* L. Bioresour. Technol. 67:73-82.
- Heller J (1996). Physic nut. Jatropha curcas L. Promoting the conservation and use of underutilized and neglected crop. 1. leben/International Plant Genetic Resources Institute, Rome. pp. 1-66.
- Huetteman CA, Preece JE (1993). Thidiazuron: a potent cytokinin forwoody plant tissue culture. Plant Cell Tiss. Organ Cult. 33:105-119.
- Jones N, Miller J (1991). *Jatropha curcas:* a multipurpose species for problematic sites. Land Resour. Ser. 1:1-12.
- Kalimuthu K, Paulsamy S, Senthilkumar R, Sathya M (2007). In vitro Propagation of the Biodiesel Plant Jatropha curcas L. Plant Tiss. Cult. Biotechnol. 17:137-147.
- Kaushik NK, Kumar S, Kaushik N, Roy S (2007). Genetic variability and divergence studies in seed traits and oil content of Jatropha accessions. Biomass Bioenergy 31:497-502.
- Kumar N (2008). Studies on regeneration and genetic transformation of *Jatropha curcas.* PhD Thesis, Bhavnagar University, Bhavnagar, India.
- Kumar N, Reddy M (2010). Plant regeneration through the direct induction of shoot buds from petiole explants of Jatropha curcas: a biofuel plant. Ann. Appl. Biol. 156:367-375.
- Kumar N, Vijayanand K, Reddy M (2010a). Shoot regeneration from cotyledonary leaf explants of Jatropha curcas: a biodesel plant. Acta Physiol. Plant. 32:917-924.
- Litz RE, Gray DJ (1995). Somatic embryogenesis for agricultural improvement. World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 11:416-425.
- Loschiavo F, Pitto L, Giuliano G, Torti G, Nuti-Ronchi V, Marazziti D, Vergara R, Orselli S, Terzi M (1989). DNA methylation of

embryogenic carrot cell cultures and its variations as caused by mutation, differentiation, hormones and hypomethylating drugs. Theor. Appl. Genet. 77:325-331.

- Modi MK, Reddy JR, Rao BV Prasad RB (2007). Lipase-mediated conversion of vegetable oils into biodiesel using ethyl acetate as acyl acceptor. Bioresour. Technol. 98:1260-1264.
- Murashige T, Skoog F (1962). A revised medium for rapid growth and bioassays with tobacco tissue cultures. Physiol. Plant 15:473-479.
- Nick JG, Arelli PR, Carol DR (1986). Somatic embryogenesis from leaf and petiole callus cultures of *Gossypium hirsutum* L. Plant Cell Rep. 5:457-459.
- Openshaw K (2000). A review of *Jatropha curcas*: An oil plant of unfulfilled promise. Biomass Bioenergy 19:1-15.
- Pankaj K, Divay G (2011). Plant tissue culture of *jatropha curcas* I.: a review. Imperial J. Pharmacogn. Nat. Prod. 1(1):2248-9754.
- Pedrose MC, Pais MS (1995). Factors controlling somatic embryogenesis. Plant Cell Tiss. Organ Cult. 43:147-154.
- Preece JE, Imel MR (1991). Plant regeneration from leaf explants of Rhododendron 'P.J.M. Hybrids'. Sci. Hortic. 48:159-170.
- Rajore S, Batra A (2007). An alternative source for regenerable organogenic callus induction in *Jatropha curcas* L. Indian J. Biotechnol. 6(4):545.
- Ripley KP, Preece JE (1986). Micropropagation of Euphorbia lathyris L. Plant Cell Tiss. Organ Cult. 5:213-218.
- Roy SC, Sarkar A (1991). *In vitro* regeneration and micropropagation of Aloe vera L. Sci. Hortic. 47(1-2):107-113.
- Shen X, Kane ME, Chen J (2008). Effects of genotype, explants source, and plant growth regulators on indirect shoot organogenesisin Dieffenbachia cultivars. *In Vitro* Cell. Dev. Biol. Plant 44:282-288.
- Shibli RA, Shatnawi M, Abu EA, Al-Juboory KH (2001). Somatic embryogenesis, regeneration and plant recovery from callus of Nabali olive (Olea europa L.). Sci. Hortic. 88:243-256.
- Shrivastava S, Banerjee M (2008). In vitro clonal propagation of physic nut (Jatropha curcas L.): Influence of additives. Int. J. Integr. Biol. 3:73-79.
- Souter M, Lindsey K (2000). Polarity and signaling in plant embryogenesis. J. Exp. Bot. 51:971-983.
- Sujatha M, Mukta N (1996). Morphogenesis and plant regeneration from tissue cultures of *Jatropha curcas*. Plant Cell Tiss. Organ Cult. 44:135-141.
- Sujatha M, Reddy TP (2007). Promotive effect of lysine monohydrochloride on morphogenesis in cultured seedling and mature plant tissues of castor (Ricinus communis L.). Indian J. Crop Sci. 2:11-19.
- Thomas TD, Maseena EA (2006). Callus induction and plant regeneration in *Cardiospermum halicacabum* (L.) an important medicinal plant. Sci. Hortic. 108:332-336.
- Tideman J, Hawker JS (1982). *In vitro* propagation of latex producing plants. Ann. Bot. 49:273-279.
- Timir BJ, Mukherjee P, Datta MM (2007). Somatic embryogenesis in *Jatropha curcas* Linn., an important biofuel plant. Plant Biotechnol. Rep. 1(3):135-140.
- Varshney A, Johnson TS (2010). Efficient plant regeneration from immature embryo cultures of *Jatropha curcas*, a biodiesel plant. Plant Biotechnol. Rep. 4:139-148.

# International Journal for Biotechnology and Molecular Biology Research

Related Journals Published by Academic Journals

African Journal of Environmental Science & Technology
Biotechnology & Molecular Biology Reviews
African Journal of Biochemistry Research
African Journal of Microbiology Research
African Journal of Pure & Applied Chemistry
Journal of Cell and Animal Biology
African Journal of Biotechnology
Scientific Research and Essays

academiclournals